

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Supplementary Table 1. Selected scoring systems for functional status assessment used in patients with AF.

Measure, Year Described	Description	Scores	Design / Validation Cohort	Comments / Limitations
New York Heart Association functional classification for heart failure,¹ 1964	Functional performance measure for categorizing degree of cardiovascular disability	Class I-IV	Designed for symptom grading in cardiac disease Validated in heart failure cohorts, used in multiple AF studies	<u>Advantages:</u> -Easy to use -Useful for its predictive value and as baseline for future evaluations -Used as outcome measure <u>Limitations:</u> -Subjective and insufficiently reproducible
Canadian Cardiovascular Society classification for angina pectoris,^{2,3} 1976	Functional classification to stratify severity of angina pectoris	Class I-IV	Designed for symptom grading in ischemic heart disease, used in multiple AF studies	<u>Advantages:</u> -Easy to use -Useful for its predictive value and as baseline for future evaluations <u>Limitations:</u> -Subjective and insufficiently reproducible

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Measure, Year Described	Description	Scores	Design / Validation Cohort	Comments / Limitations
Exercise test, ^{4,5} 1928	Exercise treadmill test with standardized protocol	E.g. Bruce protocol stages 1-9	Designed for diagnosis of angina pectoris, assess functional capacity and hemodynamic response, used in multiple AF studies	<u>Advantages:</u> -Assessment of functional capacity as well as hemodynamic response -Objective -‘Gold standard’ measure for functional capacity <u>Limitations:</u> -Time-consuming and costly -Uncertain generalizability
Six-minute walk test, ⁶⁻⁸ 1976	Simple test to evaluate distance walked in 6 minutes	Distance in meters	Validated in cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases, including congestive heart failure, and AF	<u>Advantages:</u> -Simple, inexpensive, safe and reproducible -Corresponds more closely to activities of daily living <u>Limitation:</u> -Requires ability to ambulate
Goldman Specific Activity Scale, ⁹ 1981	Specific Activity Scale for the functional classification with pre-established questionnaire	Class I-IV	Used in multiple AF studies	<u>Advantages:</u> -Higher interobserver reliability and better correlation with exercise time than CCS or NYHA classifications <u>Limitation:</u> -Relatively time-consuming
Duke Activity Status Index, ¹⁰	Self-administered questionnaire with 12 scales (with a different weighting factor) – used to	0-58.2	Validated and correlated to peak oxygen uptake with exercise testing in cardiac	<u>Advantages:</u> -Self-reporting

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Measure, Year Described	Description	Scores	Design / Validation Cohort	Comments / Limitations
1989	<p>assess functional activity and quality of life</p> <p>Questions chosen to represent the major aspects of the patient's activity: movement, personal hygiene, housework, sexual activity, and leisure.</p>		<p>patients to measure an individual's maximum potential to perform daily activities</p>	<p><u>Limitations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Subjective and no direct measure of exercise performance -May be affected by individual patient emotional and mental factors, as well as cultural or socioeconomic influences

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Supplementary Table 2. Selected studies of symptom burden and functional status in AF.

Study, Year Described	Design	Description	N	In- / Exclusion Criteria	Follow-Up	Outcome*
Pharmacological rate- and rhythm control therapies						
PIAF , ¹¹ 2000	Randomized controlled	Rate vs rhythm control	252	Symptomatic persistent AF	12 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between rate and rhythm control arms in terms of symptoms • Increased functional capacity with 6 minute walk test • No significant difference in NYHA class
AFFIRM , ¹²⁻¹⁵ 2002	Randomized controlled	Rate vs rhythm control	4,060	Paroxysmal and persistent AF No severely symptomatic AF	3.5 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between rate and rhythm control arms in terms of symptoms • Increased functional capacity with 6 minute walk test • No significant difference in NYHA class • No significant difference in functional capacity with lower heart rates in rate control arm
RACE , ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ 2002	Randomized controlled	Rate vs rhythm control	522	Persistent recurrent AF No severely symptomatic AF	2.3±0.6 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between rate and rhythm control arms in terms of symptoms • No difference in functional capacity with lower target heart rates in rate control arm
STAF , ¹⁹ 2003	Randomized controlled	Rate vs rhythm control	200	Persistent AF	19.6±8.9 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between rate and rhythm control arms in terms of symptoms • No significant difference in NYHA class
HOT-CAFÉ , ²⁰ 2004	Randomized controlled	Rate vs rhythm control	205	First episode of persistent AF	1.7±0.4 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased exercise capacity as measured by exercise treadmill test • No significant difference in NYHA class

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Study, Year Described	Design	Description	N	In- / Exclusion Criteria	Follow-Up	Outcome*
Pharmacological rate- and rhythm control therapies						
CTAF , ^{21,22} 2000	Randomized controlled	Only rhythm control: amiodarone vs sotalol or propafenone	403	Paroxysmal or persistent AF	468±150 days	• Improvement in symptoms in all patients at 3 months
SAFE-T , ²³⁻²⁵ 2005	Randomized controlled	Only rhythm control: amiodarone vs sotalol vs placebo	665	Persistent AF	12-54 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restoration of sinus rhythm resulted in improvement in symptoms and functional capacity • Most significant improvement noted in patients with most severe symptoms at baseline
CONVERT , ^{26, 27} 2008	Randomized controlled	Only rhythm control: episodic vs continuous amiodarone	209	Persistent recurrent AF	2.1 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between episodic and continuous arms in terms of symptoms • Sinus rhythm was associated with an improvement in symptoms in the episodic but not the continuous treated group
RACE II , ²⁸ 2010	Randomized controlled	Lenient vs strict rate control	614	Permanent AF No severely symptomatic AF	3 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference between lenient and strict rate control arms in terms of symptoms • No significant difference in NYHA class

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Study, Year Described	Design	Description	N	In- / Exclusion Criteria	Follow-Up	Outcome*
Non-pharmacological rate control therapies						
Ablate and Pace, ²⁹ 1998	Observational	AVN ablation and PM	156	Medically-refractory symptomatic permanent AF	12 months	• Greater than 30% reduction in symptom checklist scores in patients undergoing AVN ablation and PM
AIRCRAFT, ³⁰ 2003	Randomized controlled	AVN ablation and PM vs pharmacologic rate control	99	Medically-refractory symptomatic permanent AF	12 months	• 18% relative reduction in arrhythmia symptom scale in patients undergoing AVN ablation and PM. No change in functional status
AF-SYMPTOMS STUDY, ³¹ 2004	Crossover	Ventricular response pacing vs no pacing	45	Paroxysmal and persistent AF, standard PM indication (intact AVN)	6 weeks	• Ventricular response pacing reduced symptoms related to AF, however did not affect functional capacity
Non-pharmacological rhythm control therapies						
Weerasooriya et al, ³² 2005	Observational, non-randomized	PVI	63	Paroxysmal AF, failure on 2 AADs	12 months	• Successful PVI improved symptoms, in comparison to failure of PVI
Tondo et al, ³³ 2006	Observational, non-randomized	PVI	40 + 65 no LVEF <0.40	Paroxysmal/persistent AF, LVEF <0.40	14±2 months	• PVI improved functional capacity (6-MWT)
Hsu et al, ³⁴ 2004	Observational, non-randomized	PVI	58 + 58 no CHF	Symptomatic AF, failure on 2 AADs, LVEF <0.45, NYHA >II	12±7 months	• PVI improved both symptoms and functional capacity (NYHA class and exercise test)

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Study, Year Described	Design	Description	N	In- / Exclusion Criteria	Follow-Up	Outcome*
Erdogan et al,³⁵ 2003	Observational	PVI	30	Paroxysmal AF, failure on multiple AADs	34±11 months	• Successful PVI improves symptoms, in comparison to failure of PVI
Oral et al,³⁶ 2006	Randomized controlled	PVI vs AAD	146	Chronic AF (>6 months)	12 months	• PVI was superior in reducing symptoms • Patients with sinus rhythm had a greater improvement in symptoms than those with recurrent AF
Non-pharmacological rhythm control therapies						
A4,³⁷ 2008	Randomized controlled	PVI vs AAD	112	Paroxysmal AF, failure on 1 AAD	12 months	• PVI was superior in reducing symptoms and increasing functional capacity
Thermocool AF,^{38,39} 2010	Randomized controlled	PVI vs AAD	167	Paroxysmal AF, failure on 1 AAD	9 months	• PVI was superior in reducing symptoms
PABA-CHF,⁴⁰ 2008	Randomized controlled	PVI vs AVN ablation + biventricular PM	81	Symptomatic AF, failure on 1 AAD, LVEF <0.40, NYHA >II	6 months	• PVI was superior to AVN ablation and biventricular PM reducing symptom burden

*Includes results of substudies of large randomized trials. 6-MWT = Six-minute walk test; AAD = antiarrhythmic drugs; AVN = atrioventricular node ablation; LVEF = left-ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA = New York Heart Association; PM = Pacemaker; PVI = pulmonary vein isolation. The search strategy included the authors' knowledge of the literature, and computerized searches of PubMed database using the terms "symptoms", "atrial fibrillation", "functional status", "functional capacity", "asymptomatic", "quality of life", "rate", "ablation", "rhythm", "control", alone or in combination. Further selection was based on abstracts and clinical relevance. When available we focused randomized controlled trials, if unavailable we presented important observational studies. Our review is not meant to be conclusive; rather it represents the main studies published on this topic.

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

Supplementary References

1. The criteria committee of the new york heart association. Diseases of the heart and blood vessels: Nomenclature and criteria for diagnosis. 6th ed. Boston: Little, brown. 1964
2. Campeau L. Grading of angina pectoris. *Circulation*. 1976;54:522-523
3. Cox J, Naylor CD. The canadian cardiovascular society grading scale for angina pectoris: Is it time for refinements? *Ann Intern Med*. 1992;117:677-683
4. Feil H, Seigel M. Electrocardiographic changes during attacks of angina pectoris. *Am J Med Sci*. 1928;175:255
5. Master A, Oppenheimer E. A simple exercise tolerance test for circulatory efficiency with standard tables for normal individuals. *Am J Med Sci*. 1929;177:223
6. McGavin CR, Gupta SP, McHardy GJ. Twelve-minute walking test for assessing disability in chronic bronchitis. *Br Med J*. 1976;1:822-823
7. Butland RJ, Pang J, Gross ER, Woodcock AA, Geddes DM. Two-, six-, and 12-minute walking tests in respiratory disease. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1982;284:1607-1608
8. Guyatt GH, Sullivan MJ, Thompson PJ, Fallen EL, Pugsley SO, Taylor DW, Berman LB. The 6-minute walk: A new measure of exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. *Can Med Assoc J*. 1985;132:919-923
9. Goldman L, Hashimoto B, Cook EF, Loscalzo A. Comparative reproducibility and validity of systems for assessing cardiovascular functional class: Advantages of a new specific activity scale. *Circulation*. 1981;64:1227-1234
10. Hlatky MA, Boineau RE, Higginbotham MB, Lee KL, Mark DB, Califf RM, Cobb FR, Pryor DB. A brief self-administered questionnaire to determine functional capacity (the duke activity status index). *Am J Cardiol*. 1989;64:651-654
11. Hohnloser SH, Kuck KH, Lilienthal J. Rhythm or rate control in atrial fibrillation-- pharmacological intervention in atrial fibrillation (piaf): A randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2000;356:1789-1794
12. Wyse DG, Waldo AL, DiMarco JP, Domanski MJ, Rosenberg Y, Schron EB, Kellen JC, Greene HL, Mickel MC, Dalquist JE, Corley SD. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N.Engl.J.Med*. 2002;347:1825-1833
13. Jenkins LS, Brodsky M, Schron E, Chung M, Rocco T, Jr., Lader E, Constantine M, Sheppard R, Holmes D, Mateski D, Floden L, Prasun M, Greene HL, Shemanski L. Quality of life in atrial fibrillation: The atrial fibrillation follow-up investigation of rhythm management (affirm) study. *Am.Heart J*. 2005;149:112-120
14. Chung MK, Shemanski L, Sherman DG, Greene HL, Hogan DB, Kellen JC, Kim SG, Martin LW, Rosenberg Y, Wyse DG. Functional status in rate- versus rhythm-control strategies for atrial fibrillation: Results of the atrial fibrillation follow-up investigation of rhythm management (affirm) functional status substudy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;46:1891-1899
15. Cooper HA, Bloomfield DA, Bush DE, Katcher MS, Rawlins M, Sacco JD, Chandler M. Relation between achieved heart rate and outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation (from the atrial fibrillation follow-up investigation of rhythm management [affirm] study). *Am.J Cardiol*. 2004;93:1247-1253
16. Van Gelder IC, Hagens VE, Bosker HA, Kingma JH, Kamp O, Kingma T, Said SA, Darmanata JI, Timmermans AJ, Tijssen JG, Crijns HJ. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with recurrent persistent atrial fibrillation. *N.Engl.J.Med*. 2002;347:1834-1840
17. Hagens VE, Ranchor AV, van Sonderen EF, Bosker HA, Kamp O, Tijssen JG, Kingma JH, Kingma T, Crijns HJ, Van Gelder IC. Effect of rate or rhythm control on quality of life in persistent atrial fibrillation. *J Am.Coll.Cardiol*. 2004;43:241-247
18. Groenveld HF, Crijns HJ, Rienstra M, Van den Berg MP, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van Gelder IC. Does intensity of rate control influence outcome in persistent atrial fibrillation? Data of the race study. *Am Heart J*. 2009;158:785-791

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

19. Carlsson J, Miketic S, Windeler J, Cuneo A, Haun S, Micus S, Walter S, Tebbe U. Randomized trial of rate-control versus rhythm-control in persistent atrial fibrillation: The strategies of treatment of atrial fibrillation (staf) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:1690-1696
20. Opolski G, Torbicki A, Kosior DA, Szulc M, Wozakowska-Kaplon B, Kolodziej P, Achremczyk P. Rate control vs rhythm control in patients with nonvalvular persistent atrial fibrillation: The results of the polish how to treat chronic atrial fibrillation (hot cafe) study. *Chest*. 2004;126:476-486
21. Roy D, Talajic M, Dorian P, Connolly S, Eisenberg MJ, Green M, Kus T, Lambert J, Dubuc M, Gagne P, Nattel S, Thibault B. Amiodarone to prevent recurrence of atrial fibrillation. Canadian trial of atrial fibrillation investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:913-920
22. Dorian P, Paquette M, Newman D, Green M, Connolly SJ, Talajic M, Roy D. Quality of life improves with treatment in the canadian trial of atrial fibrillation. *Am Heart J*. 2002;143:984-990
23. Singh BN, Singh SN, Reda DJ, Tang XC, Lopez B, Harris CL, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD, Jr., Raisch DW, Ezekowitz MD. Amiodarone versus sotalol for atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352:1861-1872
24. Singh SN, Tang XC, Singh BN, Dorian P, Reda DJ, Harris CL, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD, Jr., Lopez B, Raisch DW, Ezekowitz MD. Quality of life and exercise performance in patients in sinus rhythm versus persistent atrial fibrillation: A veterans affairs cooperative studies program substudy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:721-730
25. Atwood JE, Myers JN, Tang XC, Reda DJ, Singh SN, Singh BN. Exercise capacity in atrial fibrillation: A substudy of the sotalol-amiodarone atrial fibrillation efficacy trial (safe-t). *Am Heart J*. 2007;153:566-572
26. Ahmed S, Rienstra M, Crijns HJ, Links TP, Wiesfeld AC, Hillege HL, Bosker HA, Lok DJ, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van Gelder IC. Continuous vs episodic prophylactic treatment with amiodarone for the prevention of atrial fibrillation: A randomized trial. *Jama*. 2008;300:1784-1792
27. Ahmed S, Ranchor AV, Crijns HJ, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van Gelder IC. Effect of continuous versus episodic amiodarone treatment on quality of life in persistent atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2010;12:785-791
28. Van Gelder IC, Groenveld HF, Crijns HJ, Tuininga YS, Tijssen JG, Alings AM, Hillege HL, Bergsma-Kadijk JA, Cornel JH, Kamp O, Tukkie R, Bosker HA, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van den Berg MP. Lenient versus strict rate control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:1363-1373
29. Kay GN, Ellenbogen KA, Giudici M, Redfield MM, Jenkins LS, Mianulli M, Wilkoff B. The ablate and pace trial: A prospective study of catheter ablation of the av conduction system and permanent pacemaker implantation for treatment of atrial fibrillation. Atrial investigators. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 1998;2:121-135
30. Weerasooriya R, Davis M, Powell A, Szili-Torok T, Shah C, Whalley D, Kanagaratnam L, Huddle W, Leitch J, Perks A, Ferguson L, Bulsara M. The australian intervention randomized control of rate in atrial fibrillation trial (aircraft). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:1697-1702
31. Tse HF, Newman D, Ellenbogen KA, Buhr T, Markowitz T, Lau CP. Effects of ventricular rate regularization pacing on quality of life and symptoms in patients with atrial fibrillation (atrial fibrillation symptoms mediated by pacing to mean rates [af symptoms study]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2004;94:938-941
32. Weerasooriya R, Jais P, Hocini M, Scavee C, MacLe L, Hsu LF, Sanders P, Garrigue S, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Effect of catheter ablation on quality of life of patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2005;2:619-623
33. Tondo C, Mantica M, Russo G, Avella A, De Luca L, Pappalardo A, Fagundes RL, Picchio E, Laurenzi F, Piazza V, Biscaglia I. Pulmonary vein vestibule ablation for the

Review – Symptoms and Functional Status of AF

- control of atrial fibrillation in patients with impaired left ventricular function. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2006;29:962-970
34. Hsu LF, Jais P, Sanders P, Garrigue S, Hocini M, Sacher F, Takahashi Y, Rotter M, Pasquie JL, Scavee C, Bordachar P, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation in congestive heart failure. *N.Engl.J Med.* 2004;351:2373-2383
 35. Erdogan A, Carlsson J, Neumann T, Berkowitsch A, Neuzner J, Hamm CW, Pitschner HF. Quality-of-life in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation: Results of long-term follow-up. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2003;26:678-684
 36. Oral H, Pappone C, Chugh A, Good E, Bogun F, Pelosi F, Jr., Bates ER, Lehmann MH, Vicedomini G, Augello G, Agricola E, Sala S, Santinelli V, Morady F. Circumferential pulmonary-vein ablation for chronic atrial fibrillation. *N.Engl.J.Med.* 2006;354:934-941
 37. Jais P, Cauchemez B, Macle L, Daoud E, Khairy P, Subbiah R, Hocini M, Extramiana F, Sacher F, Bordachar P, Klein G, Weerasooriya R, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Catheter ablation versus antiarrhythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation: The a4 study. *Circulation.* 2008;118:2498-2505
 38. Wilber DJ, Pappone C, Neuzil P, De Paola A, Marchlinski F, Natale A, Macle L, Daoud EG, Calkins H, Hall B, Reddy V, Augello G, Reynolds MR, Vinekar C, Liu CY, Berry SM, Berry DA. Comparison of antiarrhythmic drug therapy and radiofrequency catheter ablation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2010;303:333-340
 39. Reynolds MR, Walczak J, White SA, Cohen DJ, Wilber DJ. Improvements in symptoms and quality of life in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation treated with radiofrequency catheter ablation versus antiarrhythmic drugs. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2010;3:615-623
 40. Khan MN, Jais P, Cummings J, Di Biase L, Sanders P, Martin DO, Kautzner J, Hao S, Themistoclakis S, Fanelli R, Potenza D, Massaro R, Wazni O, Schweikert R, Saliba W, Wang P, Al-Ahmad A, Beheiry S, Santarelli P, Starling RC, Dello Russo A, Pelargonio G, Brachmann J, Schibgilla V, Bonso A, Casella M, Raviele A, Haissaguerre M, Natale A. Pulmonary-vein isolation for atrial fibrillation in patients with heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:1778-1785